

# **Adoptionism**

**Adoptionism**, also called **dynamic monarchianism**, [1] is an theological doctrine.[1] early Christian nontrinitarian subsequently revived in various forms, which holds that Jesus was adopted as the Son of God at his baptism, his resurrection, or his ascension. How common adoptionist views were among early Christians is debated, but it appears to have been most popular in the first, second, and third centuries. Some scholars see adoptionism as the belief of the earliest followers of Jesus, based on the epistles of Paul and other early literature. However, adoptionist views sharply declined in prominence in the fourth and fifth centuries, as Church leaders condemned it as a heresy.

# **Definition**

Adoptionism is one of two main forms of <u>monarchianism</u> (the other being <u>modalism</u>, which considers God to be one while working through the different "modes" or "manifestations" of <u>God the Father</u>, <u>God the Son</u>, and <u>God the Holy Spirit</u>, without limiting his modes or manifestations). Adoptionism denies the eternal pre-existence of Christ, and although it



<u>Francesco Albani</u>'s *The Baptism of Christ*, when Jesus became one with God according to adoptionism

explicitly affirms his deity subsequent to events in his life, many classical <u>trinitarians</u> claim that the doctrine implicitly denies it by denying the constant <u>hypostatic union</u> of the eternal <u>Logos</u> to the human nature of Jesus. [2] Under adoptionism, Jesus is divine and has been since his adoption, although he is not equal to the Father, per "my Father is greater than I" and as such is a kind of <u>subordinationism</u>. (However the quoted scripture can be orthodoxically interpreted as the fact that in the Trinity the Father is the source without origin, while the Son eternally receives the divinity from the Father.) Adoptionism is sometimes, but not always, related to a denial of the virgin birth of Jesus.

# History

# **Early Christianity**

# Adoptionism and high Christology

<u>Bart Ehrman</u> claims that the <u>New Testament</u> writings contain two different Christologies, namely a "low" or adoptionist Christology, and a "high" or "incarnation Christology". The "low Christology" or "adoptionist Christology" is the belief "that God exalted Jesus to be his Son by raising him from the dead", thereby raising him to "divine status". The other early Christology is "high Christology," which is "the view that Jesus was a pre-existent divine being who became a human, did the Father's will on

earth, and then was taken back up into heaven whence he had originally come," [web 1][7] and from where he appeared on earth. The chronology of the development of these early Christologies is a matter of debate within contemporary scholarship. [8][9][10][web 2]

According to the "evolutionary model" or evolutionary theories proposed by Bousset, followed by Brown, the Christological understanding of Christ developed over time, from a low Christology to a high Christology, [13][14][15] as witnessed in the Gospels. [16] According to the evolutionary model, the earliest Christians believed that Jesus was a human who was exalted, and thus adopted as God's Son, [17][18][19] when he was resurrected, [15][20] signaling the nearness of the Kingdom of God, when all dead would be resurrected and the righteous exalted. Adoptionist concepts can be found in the Gospel of Mark. [22][23][note 1] As Daniel Johansson notes, the majority of scholars hold Mark's Jesus as "an exalted, but merely human figure", especially when read in the apparent context of Jewish beliefs. [24] Later beliefs shifted the exaltation to his baptism, birth, and subsequently to the idea of his eternal existence, as witnessed in the Gospel of John. [15] Mark shifted the moment of when Jesus became the son to the baptism of Jesus, and later still Matthew and Luke shifted it to the moment of the divine conception, and finally John declared that Jesus had been with God from the beginning: "In the beginning was the Word". [19][25]

One notable passage that may have been cited by early adoptionists was what exactly God said at Jesus's baptism; three different versions are recorded. One of them, found in the <u>Codex Bezae</u> version of Luke 3:22, is "You are my son; today I have begotten you." This seems to be quoted in Acts 13:32–33 as well (in all manuscripts, not just Bezae) and in Hebrews 5:5. [27][28] Quotes from second and third century Christian writers almost always use this variant as well, with many fourth and fifth century writers continuing to use it, if occasionally with embarrassment; <u>Augustine</u> cites the line, for example, but clarifies God meant an eternal "today". Ehrman speculates that Orthodox scribes of the fourth and fifth century changed the passage in Luke to align with the version in Mark as a defense against adoptionists citing the passage in their favor. [26]

Since the 1970s, these late datings for the development of a "high Christology" have been contested, [29] and a majority of scholars argue that this "high Christology" existed already before the writings of Paul. [5][note 2] According to the "New *Religionsgeschichtliche Schule*", [29][web 3] or the Early High Christology Club, [web 4] which includes Martin Hengel, Larry Hurtado, N. T. Wright, and Richard Bauckham, [29][web 4] this "incarnation Christology" or "high Christology" did not evolve over a longer time, but was a "big bang" of ideas which were already present at the start of Christianity, and took further shape in the first few decades of the church, as witnessed in the writings of Paul. [29][web 4][web 1][note 3] Some 'Early High Christology' proponents scholars argue that this "high Christology" may go back to Jesus himself. [34][web 2]

According to Ehrman, these two Christologies existed alongside each other, calling the "low Christology" an "adoptionist Christology, and "the "high Christology" an "incarnation Christology". [5] Conversely, Michael Bird has argued that adoptionism did not first emerge until the 2nd century as a result of later theological debates and other socio-religious influences on the reading of certain biblical texts. [35]

#### New Testamental epistles

Adoptionist theology may also be reflected in canonical <u>epistles</u>, the earliest of which pre-date the writing of the gospels. The letters of <u>Paul the Apostle</u>, for example, do not mention a <u>virgin birth of Christ</u>. Paul describes Jesus as "born of a woman, born under the law" and "as to his human nature was a descendant of

David" in the <u>Epistle to the Galatians</u> and the <u>Epistle to the Romans</u>. Christian interpreters, however, take his statements in <u>Philippians 2</u> to imply that Paul believed Jesus to have existed as equal to God before his incarnation. [36]

#### **Shepherd of Hermas**

The 2nd-century work <u>Shepherd of Hermas</u> may also have taught that Jesus was a virtuous man filled with the Holy Spirit and adopted as the Son. [note 4][38][39] While the Shepherd of Hermas was popular and sometimes bound with the canonical scriptures, it did not retain canonical status, if it ever had it.

#### **Theodotus of Byzantium**

Theodotus of Byzantium (fl. late 2nd century), a <u>Valentinian Gnostic</u>, as the most prominent exponent of adoptionism. According to <u>Hippolytus of Rome (Philosophumena</u>, VII, xxiii) Theodotus taught that Jesus was a man born of a virgin, according to the Council of Jerusalem, that he lived like other men, and was most pious. At his baptism in the Jordan the "Christ" came down upon the man Jesus, in the likeness of a dove (*Philosophumena*, VII, xxiii), but Jesus was not himself God until after his resurrection. [40][42]

Adoptionism was declared <u>heresy</u> at the end of the 3rd century and was rejected by the <u>Synods of Antioch</u> and the <u>First Council of Nicaea</u>, which defined the <u>orthodox doctrine</u> of the <u>Trinity</u> and identified the man Jesus with the eternally begotten Son or <u>Word of God</u> in the <u>Nicene Creed</u>. [43][44] The belief was also declared heretical by <u>Pope Victor I</u>.

#### **Ebionites**

Adoptionism was also adhered to by the <u>Jewish Christians</u> known as <u>Ebionites</u>, who, according to <u>Epiphanius</u> in the 4th century, believed that Jesus was chosen on account of his <u>sinless</u> devotion to the <u>will</u> of  $God.^{[45]}$ 

The Ebionites were a Jewish Christian movement that existed during the early centuries of the Christian Era. [46] They show strong similarities with the earliest form of Jewish Christianity, and their specific theology may have been a "reaction to the law-free Gentile mission". [47] They regarded Jesus as the Messiah while rejecting his divinity and his virgin birth, [48] and insisted on the necessity of following Jewish law and rites. [49] They used the Gospel of the Ebionites, one of the Jewish–Christian gospels; the Hebrew Book of Matthew starting at chapter 3; revered James the brother of Jesus (James the Just); and rejected Paul the Apostle as an apostate from the Law. [50] Their name (Greek: Ἐβιωναῖοι, translit. Ebionaioi, derived from the Hebrew אביונים, meaning 'the poor' or 'poor ones') suggests that they placed a special value on voluntary poverty.

Distinctive features of the Gospel of the Ebionites include the absence of the <u>virgin birth</u> and of the genealogy of Jesus; an <u>Adoptionist Christology</u>, in which Jesus is chosen to be <u>God's Son</u> at the time of his Baptism; the abolition of the Jewish sacrifices by Jesus; and an advocacy of vegetarianism. [note 6]

# **Spanish Adoptionism**

Iberian Adoptionism was a theological position which was articulated in <u>Umayyad</u> and <u>Christian</u>-held regions of the <u>Iberian peninsula</u> in the 8th and 9th centuries. The issue seems to have begun with the claim of archbishop <u>Elipandus</u> of Toledo that – in respect to his human nature – Christ was *adoptive* Son of God.

Another leading advocate of this Christology was <u>Felix of Urgel</u>. In the Iberian peninsula, adoptionism was opposed by <u>Beatus of Liebana</u>, and in the <u>Carolingian</u> territories, the Adoptionist position was condemned by <u>Pope Hadrian I</u>, <u>Alcuin of York</u>, <u>Agobard</u>, and officially in Carolingian territory by the Council of Frankfurt (794).

Despite the shared name of "adoptionism" the Spanish Adoptionist Christology appears to have differed sharply from the adoptionism of early Christianity. Spanish advocates predicated the term *adoptivus* of Christ only in respect to his humanity; once the divine Son "emptied himself" of divinity and "took the form of a servant" (Philippians 2:7), [51] Christ's human nature was "adopted" as divine. [52]

Historically, many scholars have followed the Adoptionists' Carolingian opponents in labeling Spanish Adoptionism as a minor revival of "Nestorian" Christology. [53] John C. Cavadini has challenged this notion by attempting to take the Spanish Christology in its own Spanish/North African context in his study, *The Last Christology of the West: Adoptionism in Spain and Gaul*, 785–820. [54]

### **Scholastic Neo-adoptionism**

A third wave was the revived form ("Neo-adoptionism") of <u>Peter Abelard</u> in the 12th century. Later, various modified and qualified Adoptionist tenets emerged from some theologians in the 14th century. <u>Duns Scotus</u> (1300) and <u>Durandus of Saint-Pourçain</u> (1320) admit the term *filius adoptivus* in a qualified sense. In more recent times the Jesuit <u>Gabriel Vásquez</u>, and the Lutheran divines <u>Georgius Calixtus</u> and <u>Johann Ernst Immanuel Walch</u>, have defended adoptionism as essentially orthodox.

# Modern adoptionist groups

A form of adoptionism surfaced in <u>Unitarianism</u> during the 18th century as <u>denial of the virgin birth</u> became increasingly common, led by the views of Joseph Priestley and others.

A similar form of adoptionism was expressed in the writings of <u>James Strang</u>, a <u>Latter Day Saint</u> leader who founded the <u>Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints (Strangite)</u> after the <u>death of Joseph Smith</u> in 1844. In his <u>Book of the Law of the Lord</u>, a purported work of ancient scripture found and translated by Strang, he offers an essay entitled "Note on the Sacrifice of Christ" in which he explains his unique (for Mormonism as a whole) doctrines on the subject. Jesus Christ, said Strang, was the natural-born son of <u>Mary</u> and <u>Joseph</u>, who was chosen from before all time to be the Savior of mankind, but who had to be born as an ordinary mortal of two human parents (rather than being begotten by the Father or the <u>Holy Spirit</u>) to be able to truly fulfill his Messianic role. Strang claimed that the earthly Christ was in essence "adopted" as God's son at birth, and fully revealed as such during the <u>Transfiguration</u>. After proving himself to God by living a perfectly sinless life, he was enabled to provide an acceptable sacrifice for the sins of men, prior to his <u>resurrection</u> and <u>ascension</u>.

The Christian Community, an <u>esoteric Christian</u> denomination informed by the teachings of <u>Rudolf Steiner</u>, assumes an adoptionist <u>Christology</u> that treats <u>Jesus</u> and the <u>Christ</u> as separate beings until they are joined at baptism. [58] "Steiner's Christology is discussed as a central element of his thought in Johannes Hemleben,

*Rudolf Steiner: A Documentary Biography*, trans. Leo Twyman (East Grinstead, Sussex: Henry Goulden, 1975), pp. 96-100. From the perspective of orthodox Christianity, it may be said that Steiner combined a docetic understanding of Christ's nature with the Adoptionist heresy." [59]

### See also

- Adoptivi
- Arianism
- Binitarianism

### Notes

- 1. Boyarin: "[W]e can still observe within the Gospel (especially in Mark, which has no miraculous birth story, and also even in Paul) the remnants of a version of Christology in which Jesus was born a man but became God at his baptism. This idea, later named the heresy of adoptionism (God adopting Jesus as his Son), was not quite stamped out until the Middle Ages. [23]
- 2. Richard Bauckham argues that Paul was not so influential that he could have invented the central doctrine of Christianity. Before his active missionary work, there were already groups of Christians across the region. For example, a large group already existed in Rome even before Paul visited the place. The earliest centre of Christianity was the twelve apostles in Jerusalem. Paul himself consulted and sought guidance from the Christian leaders in Jerusalem (Galatians 2:1–2; Acts 9:26–28, 15:2). 15:2). 15:2). 15:2). 16:20 "What was common to the whole Christian movement derived from Jerusalem, not from Paul, and Paul himself derived the central message he preached from the Jerusalem apostles."
- 3. Loke (2017): "The last group of theories can be called 'Explosion Theories' (one might also call this 'the Big-Bang theory of Christology'!). This proposes that highest Christology was the view of the primitive Palestinian Christian community. The recognition of Jesus as truly divine was not a significant development from the views of the primitive Palestine community; rather, it 'exploded' right at the beginning of Christianity. The proponents of the Explosion view would say that the highest Christology of the later New Testament writings (e.g. Gospel of John) and the creedal formulations of the early church fathers, with their explicit affirmations of the pre-existence and ontological divinity of Christ, are not so much a development in essence but a development in understanding and explication of what was already there at the beginning of the Christian movement. As Bauckham (2008a, x) memorably puts it, 'The earliest Christology was already the highest Christology.' Many proponents of this group of theories have been labelled together as 'the New *Religionsgeschichtliche Schule*' (Hurtado 2003, 11), and they include such eminent scholars as Richard Bauckham, Larry Hurtado, N. T. Wright and the late Martin Hengel." [29]
- 4. "The Holy Pre-existent Spirit. Which created the whole creation, God made to dwell in flesh that he desired. This flesh, therefore, in which the Holy Spirit dwelt, was subject unto the Spirit, walking honorably in holiness and purity, without in any way defiling the Spirit. When then it had lived honorably in chastity, and had labored with the Spirit, and had cooperated with it in everything, behaving itself boldly and bravely, he chose it as a partner with the Holy Spirit; for the career of this flesh pleased [the Lord], seeing that, as possessing the Holy Spirit, it was not defiled upon the earth. He therefore took the son as adviser and the glorious angels also, that this flesh too, having served the Spirit unblamably, might have some place of sojourn, and might not seem to have lost the reward for its service; for all flesh, which is found undefiled and unspotted, wherein the Holy Spirit dwelt, shall receive a reward." [37]

- 5. <u>Kloppenborg 1994</u>, pp. 435–9; p. 435 "This belief, known as "adoptionism", held that Jesus was not divine by nature or by birth, but that God chose him to become his son, i.e., adopted him."
- 6. <u>Vielhauer & Strecker 1991</u>, pp. 166–71; p. 168 "Jesus' task is to do away with the 'sacrifices'. In this saying (16.4–5), the hostility of the Ebionites against the Temple cult is documented."

### References

- 1. Williams, D. H. (2012) [2011]. "Adoptionism". *The Encyclopedia of Christian Civilization*. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell. doi:10.1002/9780470670606.wbecc0008 (https://doi.org/10.1002%2F9780470670606.wbecc0008). ISBN 9781405157629. "The paucity of extant evidence makes an exact knowledge about the views of what modern scholars have dubbed "adoptionist" or 'dynamic' monarchianism uncertain. No documents written by adherents to this strain of Christian theology have survived. As a result, we cannot say what constituted a purely adoptionist viewpoint or how closely associated it was with what is typically called 'modalism'. True to its emphasis on divine monotheism, 'adoptionism' opposed any substantial division within God when it came to the incarnation of Christ as the Logos of God. As a result, the Jesus of the Gospels was a man empowered by the one God."
- 2. Justo L. González, *Essential Theological Terms*, page 139 (Westminster John Knox Press, 2005). ISBN 978-0-664-22810-1
- 3. John 14:28 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=John%2014:28&version=nrsv)
- 4. Ed Hindson, Ergun Caner (editors), *The Popular Encyclopedia of Apologetics: Surveying the Evidence for the Truth of Christianity*, page 16 (Harvest House Publishers, 2008). ISBN 978-0-7369-2084-1
- 5. Ehrman 2014, p. 125.
- 6. Ehrman 2014, p. 120; 122.
- 7. Ehrman 2014, p. 122.
- 8. Loke 2017.
- 9. Ehrman 2014.
- 10. Talbert 2011, p. 3-6.
- 11. Netland 2001, p. 175.
- 12. Loke 2017, p. 3.
- 13. Mack 1995.
- 14. Ehrman 2003.
- 15. Bart Ehrman, How Jesus became God, Course Guide
- 16. Ehrman 2014, pp. 251–252.
- 17. Loke 2017, p. 3-4.
- 18. Talbert 2011, p. 3.
- 19. Brown 2008, p. unpaginated.
- 20. Geza Vermez (2008), The Resurrection, p.138-139
- 21. Fredriksen 2008, p. unpaginated.
- 22. Ehrman 1996, p. 48–49.
- 23. Boyarin 2012, p. 56.

- 24. Johansson, Daniel (2011-06-01). <u>"The Identity of Jesus in the Gospel of Mark: Past and Present Proposals"</u> (https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1476993X10370474). <u>Currents in Biblical Research.</u> **9** (3): 364–393. <u>doi:10.1177/1476993X10370474</u> (https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1476993X10370474). <u>S2CID</u> 162387829 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:162387829).
- 25. Ehrman 1996, p. 74-75.
- 26. Ehrman 1996, p. 49; 62–67; 107.
- 27. Acts 13:32–33 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=Acts%2013:32–33&version=nrsv)
- 28. Hebrews 5:5 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=Hebrews%205:5&version=nrsv)
- 29. Loke 2017, p. 5.
- 30. Galatians 2:1–2 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=Galatians%202:1–2&version=nrsv)
- 31. Acts 9:26–28 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=Acts%209:26–28&version=nrsv)
- 32. Acts 15:2 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=Acts%2015:2&version=nrsv)
- 33. Bauckham 2011, p. 110-111.
- 34. Loke 2017, p. 6.
- 35. Bird 2017, p. 9.
- 36. Hurtado, L. W. (1993). "Pre-existence". In Hawthorne, Gerald F. (ed.). *Dictionary of Paul and His Letters*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press. pp. 743–746.
- 37. "The Shepherd of Hermas (Lightfoot translation)" (http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/text/shepherd-lightfoot.html). www.earlychristianwritings.com.
- 38. "Hermas never mentions Jesus Christ, or the Word, but only the Son of God, who is the highest angel. As holy spirit the Son dwells in the flesh; this human nature is God's adopted son" in, Patrick W. Carey, Joseph T. Lienhard (editors), *Biographical Dictionary of Christian Theologians*, page 241 (Greenwood Press, 2008). ISBN 0-313-29649-9
- 39. Papandrea, James L. (24 April 2016). <u>The Earliest Christologies: Five Images of Christ in the Postapostolic Age (https://books.google.com/books?id=x\_akCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA29)</u>. InterVarsity Press. p. 29. <u>ISBN 978-0-8308-5127-0</u>. "The most prominent example of Angel Adoptionism from the early Church would have to be the document known as *The Shepherd* of Hermass. In *The Shepherd*, the savior is an angel called the "angel of justification," who seems to be identified with the archangel Michael. Although the angel is often understood to be Jesus, he is never named as Jesus."
- 40. Roukema, Riemer (18 February 2010). "Jesus' Origin and Identity Theodotus [of Byzantium]" (https://books.google.com/books?id=AfcRBwAAQBAJ&pg=PA53). Jesus, Gnosis and Dogma. Bloomsbury Publishing. p. 53. ISBN 978-0-567-61585-5. "The Saviour, jesus Christ, who from the fullness (the pleroma) of the Father descended on earth, is identified with the Logos, but initially not entirely with the Only Begotten Son. In john 1:14 is written, after all, that his glory was as of the Only Begotten, from which is concluded that his glory must be distinguished from this (7, 3b). When the Logos or Saviour descended, Sophia, according to Theodotus, provided a piece of flesh (sarkion), namely a carnal body, also called 'spiritual seed' (1, 1)."
- 41. CARM, *Adoptionism* (https://carm.org/adoptionism)

- 42. Dirks, Jerald F. (2006). "Jesus: Man and God?". In F. Kamal (ed.). Easily Understand Islam: Finally I Get It!: a Collection of Articles (https://books.google.com/books?id=C48F MQAACAAJ). Desert Well Network LLC. p. 219f. ISBN 978-1-59236-011-6. "[Per Jesus and Adoptionism how does one understand the title "Son of God" when it is applied to Jesus? The answer is to be found in the Adoptionist movement within early Christianity. The Adoptionist trajectory in early Christianity begins with the baptism of Jesus by John the Baptist, According to the usual Adoptionist formulations, it was at his moment of baptism that Jesus moved into this special relationship or metaphorical "sonship" with God – not at his conception or virgin birth. [...] the oldest Greek manuscripts of and quotations from Luke render the key verse in question as follows. "Now when all the people were baptized, and when Jesus also had been baptized and was praying, the heaven was opened, and the Holy Spirit descended upon him in bodily form like a dove. And a voice came from heaven, "You are my son; today I have begotten you" (Luke 3:21-22)," [...] the wording regarding the baptism of Jesus is also to be found in Hebrews 1:5a. Hebrews 5:5, and Acts 13:33. This same wording is also found in Psalms 2:7 in reference to David and in the apocryphal Gospel of the Ebionites in reference to Jesus' baptism."
  - Steyn, Gert Jacobus (2011). A Quest for the Assumed LXX Vorlage of the Explicit Quotations in Hebrews (https://books.google.com/books?id=QGk5oSoKJDYC&pg=PA38). Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. p. 38. ISBN 978-3-525-53099-3. "Ps 2:7-8 is also quoted in 1 Clem 36:4 and in Just. Dial. 122:6, whilst only verse seven of Ps 2 is found in the Ebionite Gospel (fr. 4) and in Just. Dial. 88:8, 103:6. The quotation from Ps 2:7 that occurs in Heb 1:5 and 5:5 found its way into Hebrews via the early Jewish and early Christian traditions."
- 43. Harnack, Adolf Von (1889). *History of Dogma* (http://www.ccel.org/ccel/harnack/dogma1.II.III.I II.html).
- 44. Edward E. Hindson; Daniel R. Mitchell (2013). <u>The Popular Encyclopedia of Church History:</u> <u>The People, Places, and Events That Shaped Christianity</u> (https://books.google.com/books?id=iAvGNIIWg9IC&q=adoptionism+heresy&pg=PA23). Harvest House Publishers. p. 23. ISBN 9780736948074. Retrieved 29 April 2014.
- 45. Epiphanius of Salamis (403 CE). pp. 30:3 & 30:13.
- 46. Cross, EA; Livingston, FL, eds. (1989). "Ebionites". *The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church*. Oxford University Press.
- 47. Dunn 2006, p. 282.
- 48. "Ebionites" (http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/177608/Ebionites). Encyclopædia Britannica.
- 49. Kohler, Kaufmann (1901–1906). "Ebionites" (http://jewishencyclopedia.com/view.jsp?artid=2 2&letter=E). In Singer, Isidore; Alder, Cyrus (eds.). *Jewish Encyclopedia*.
- 50. <u>Hyam Maccoby</u> (1987). *The Mythmaker: Paul and the Invention of Christianity*. HarperCollins. pp. 172–183. <u>ISBN 0-06-250585-8</u>., <u>an abridgement (http://ebionite.tripod.com/mac15.htm)</u>
- 51. Philippians 2:7 (https://bible.oremus.org/?passage=Philippians%202:7&version=nrsv)
- 52. James Ginther, *Westminster Handbook to Medieval Theology*, (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2009), 3.
- 53. For an example of this characterization, see Adolph Harnack, *History of Dogma*, vol. 5, trans. Neil Buchanan, (New York: Dover, 1961), 280.
- 54. John C. Cavadini, *The Last Christology of the West: Adoptionism in Spain and Gaul, 785–820*, (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1993), 4–5.
- 55. Book of the Law, pp. 157–58, note 9.

- 56. Book of the Law, pp. 165-66.
- 57. Book of the law, pp. 155-58.
- 58. "The Christian Community Movement for Religious Renewal: Our Creed" (https://www.thechristiancommunity.org/the-creed). April 2, 2023. Retrieved April 2, 2023.
- 59. Etter, Brian K. (2019) [2001]. "Chapter Six The New Music and the Influence of Theosophy". *From Classicism to Modernism*. Routledge. p. unpaginated. fn. 80. **ISBN 978-1-315-18576-7**.

#### Sources

#### **Printed sources**

- Bauckham, R. (2011), Jesus: A Very Short Introduction, Oxford University Press
- Bird, Michael F. (2017), Jesus the Eternal Son: Answering Adoptionist Christology (https://books.google.com/books?id=uixbDwAAQBAJ), Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing, ISBN 978-0-8028-7506-8
- Boyarin, Daniel (2012), The Jewish Gospels (https://books.google.com/books?id=Rd48nOco 0-wC&pg=PT56), New Press, ISBN 978-1-59558-711-4
- Brown, R.E. (1973), <u>The Virginal Conception and Bodily Resurrection of Jesus</u> (https://books.google.com/books?id=a0lk5DuD01cC), Paulist Press, ISBN 9780809117680
- Brown, R.E. (2008), Witherup, Ronald D. (ed.), *Christ in the Gospels of the Liturgical Year*, Paulist Press, ISBN 9780814635315
- Dunn, James D.G. (2006), Unity and Diversity in the New Testament (3rd ed.), scm press
- Ehrman, Bart (1996), The Orthodox Corruption of the Scripture, New York: Oxford University Press
- Ehrman, Bart D. (2003), Lost Christianities: The Battles for Scripture and the Faiths We Never Knew (https://books.google.com/books?id=vDzRCwAAQBAJ), Oxford University Press, ISBN 978-0-19-972712-4
- Ehrman, Bart (2014), How Jesus Became God. The Exaltation of a Jewish Preacher from Galilea, Harperone
- Fredriksen, Paula (2008), From Jesus to Christ: The Origins of the New Testament Images of Jesus, Yale University Press, ISBN 978-0300164107
- Kloppenborg, John S. (1994) [1992]. "The Gospel of the Ebionites". In Miller, Robert J. (ed.). The Complete Gospels. Polebridge Press. pp. 435–40 (https://archive.org/details/completeg ospels00robe/page/435). ISBN 0-06-065587-9.
- Loke, Andrew Ter Ern (2017), <u>The Origin of Divine Christology</u> (https://books.google.com/books?id=Et0qDwAAQBAJ&pg=PA5), vol. 169, Cambridge University Press, <u>ISBN</u> 978-1-108-19142-5
- Mack, Burton L. (1995), Who Wrote the New Testament? The Making of the Christian Myth
- Netland, Harold (2001), Encountering Religious Pluralism: The Challenge to Christian Faith & Mission, InterVarsity Press
- Philip Schaff History of the Christian Church, Volume IV, 1882.
- Talbert, Charles H. (2011), The Development of Christology during the First Hundred Years: and Other Essays on Early Christian Christology. Supplements to Novum Testamentum 140., Brill
- Vielhauer, Philipp; Strecker, Georg [in German] (1991). "Jewish–Christian gospels". In Schneemelcher, Wilhelm; Wilson, Robert McLachlan (eds.). New Testament Apocrypha: Gospels and Related Writings Volume 1 (2 ed.). John Knox Press. pp. 134–78. ISBN 0-664-22721-X. (6th German edition, translated by George Ogg)

 Witherington, Ben (2006), What Have They Done With Jesus?, San Francisco: Harper Collins

#### Web sources

- 1. Ehrman, Bart D. (February 14, 2013). "Incarnation Christology, Angels, and Paul" (https://ehrmanblog.org/incarnation-christology-angels-and-paul-for-members/). The Bart Ehrman Blog. Retrieved May 2, 2018.
- 2. Larry Hurtado, "The Origin of 'Divine Christology'?" (https://larryhurtado.wordpress.com/201 7/10/09/the-origin-of-divine-christology/)
- 3. Larry Hurtado (10 July 2015), <u>"Early High Christology": A "Paradigm Shift"? "New Perspective"?</u> (https://larryhurtado.wordpress.com/2015/07/10/early-high-christology-a-paradigm-shift-new-perspective/)
- 4. Bouma, Jeremy (27 March 2014). "The Early High Christology Club and Bart Ehrman An Excerpt from 'How God Became Jesus' " (https://zondervanacademic.com/blog/how-god-bec ame-jesus-bart-ehrman-high-christology-excerpt/). Zondervan Academic Blog. HarperCollins Christian Publishing. Retrieved 2 May 2018.

# **External links**

- Adoptionism (http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/01150a.htm) in Catholic Encyclopedia
- Adoptionism (http://www.lcms.org/ca/www/cyclopedia/02/display.asp?t1=a&word=ADOPTIO NISM) in Christian Cyclopedia
- Chapter XI. Doctrinal Controversies (http://www.ccel.org/s/schaff/history/4\_ch11.htm), from Philip Schaff's History of the Christian Church

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Adoptionism&oldid=1225903420"

.